Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1–(800) 248–5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Herbert N. Berkow, Director, Project Directorate II-2: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire, Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for a hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendments after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated May 1, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street,

NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Burke County Library, 412 Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

L. A. Wiens,

Acting Director, Project Directorate II-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–22185 Filed 9–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Notice of Open Meeting of the Intergovernmental Policy Advisory Committee for Trade

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The September 22, 1995 meeting of the Intergovernmental Policy Advisory Committee will be open to the public. The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues of U.S. trade policy.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for September 22, 1995, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Sheraton City Center Hotel, 1143 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., from 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. unless otherwise notified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clayton Parker, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs, Office of the United States Trade Representative, Executive Office of the President at (202) 395–6120.

Jennifer Hillman,

Acting United States Trade Representative. [FR Doc. 95–21735 Filed 9–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

Notice of Meeting of the Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice that the September 14, 1995 meeting of the Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations will be held from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations will hold a meeting on September 14, 1995 from

10 a.m. to 2 p.m. The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Pursuant to Section 2155(f)(2) of Title 19 of the United States Code. I have determined that the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the development by the United States Government of trade policy, priorities, negotiating objectives or bargaining positions with respect to the operation of any trade agreement and other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation and administration of the trade policy of the United States.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for September 14, 1995, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Indian Treaty Room at the Old Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C., unless otherwise notified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michaelle Burstin, Director of Public Liaison, Office of the United States Trade Representative, (202) 395–6120. Michael Kantor,

United States Trade Representative. [FR Doc. 95–22096 Filed 9–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

Country-by-Country Reallocations of the Tariff-Rate Quota for Sugar

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representatives.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice of two sets of country-by-country reallocations of part of the in-quota quantity of the tariff-rate quota for imported sugar for the period that ends September 30, 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATES: June 13 and July 13, 1995, respectively.

ADDRESSES: Inquiries may be mailed or delivered to Tom Perkins, Senior Economist, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street N.W., Office of Agricultural Affairs (Room 421), Washington, DC 20508.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Perkins, Office of Agricultural Affairs, 202–395–6127.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 13, 1995, and again on July 13, 1995, the United States Trade Representative determined and announced that countries to which an allocation had been made of the in-quota quantity under the sugar tariff-rate quota (TRQ) provided for in Additional U.S. Note 5 to chapter 17 of the Harmonized Tariff

Schedule of the United States (HTS) would not be filling their allocations and that the amount of this shortfall would be reallocated to other supplying countries or areas. This notice documents these earlier announcements. Following is information on the quota shortfall and reallocation amounts for the June 13 and July 13 announcements, respectively.

June 13, 1995, Reallocation

On June 13, 1995, the United States Trade Representative determined and announced that five countries would not be filling their allocations under the tariff-rate quota for sugar. These countries are: Barbados, Congo, Gabon, Papua New Guinea, and St. Kitts & Nevis. The amount of the total shortfall is 92,427 metric tons (101,883 short tons). The shortfall amount for each country is: Barbados 23,763 MT, Congo 14,584 MT, Gabon 21,840 MT, Papua New Guinea 13,999 MT, and St. Kitts & Nevis 18,241 MT.

This amount has been reallocated among supplying countries in the following amounts (metric tons, raw value):

Country	Reallo- cated amount
Argentina	4,035 7,788
Belize Bolivia	1,032 750
Bolivia Brazil	13,606
Colombia	2,252
Costa Rica	1,407
Dominican Republic	16,515
Ecuador	1,032
El Salvador	2,440
Fiji	845
Guatemala	4,504
Guyana	1,126
Honduras	938
India	750
Jamaica	1,032
Malawi	938
Mauritius	1,126
Mozambique	1,220
Nicaragua	1,971
Panama	2,721
Peru	3,848
Philippines	12,668
South Africa	2,158
Swaziland	1,502
Taiwan	1,126
Thailand	1,314
Trinidad-Tobago	657
Zimbabwe	1,126
Total	92,427

July 13, 1995, Reallocation

On July 13, 1995, the United States Trade Representative determined and announced that five countries would not be filling their allocations of the tariff-rate quota for sugar, including the amounts reallocated to them in the June 13, 1995, reallocation announcement. Accordingly, their remaining quota balances are being reallocated. This is in addition to the reallocation announced on June 13, 1995.

The five countries which will not utilize their remaining quota balances are: India, Madagascar, the Philippines, Taiwan, and Thailand. The total amount of the 1994/1995 sugar TRQ reallocated for purposes of the July 13 reallocation is 17,923 metric tons (19,757 short tons). The following amounts are reallocated from each country (in MT raw value): India, 750 MT; Madagascar, 2,066 MT; the Philippines, 12,668 MT; Taiwan, 1,126 MT; and Thailand, 1,314 MT.

This amount has been reallocated among supplying countries in the following amounts (metric tons, raw value):

Country	Reallo- cated amount
Argentina	522 1,009
Belize	1,009
Bolivia	97
Brazil	1.762
Columbia	292
Costa Rica	183
Dominican Republic	2,139
Ecuador	134
El Salvador	316
Fiji	109
Guatemala	583
Guyana	146
Honduras	121
Jamaica	134
Malawi	121
Mauritius	146
Mozambique	158
Nicaragua	255
Panama	353
Papua New Guinea	8,005
Peru	499
South Africa	279
Swaziland	195
Trinidad-TobagoZimbabwe	85 146
ZIIIIDADWE	140
Total	17,923

Barbados, India, Madagascar, Philippines, Taiwan and Thailand were also excluded from this reallocation based on reported lack of shipment intentions for the June 13 reallocation quantity.

The reallocation of the 17,923 MT includes reinstating to Papua New Guinea 8,005 MT of the 13,999 MT reallocated from it in the June 13 announcement. This amount was reinstated due to a confirmed delivery contract for quota entry into the United States by September 30, 1995.